

ORTHOKERATOLOGY LENSES FOR MYOPIA PROGRESSION

Dr. Suhail Sarwar

Prevention of myopia has always remained an enigma for eyecare scientists and practitioners. Being the most common refractive error and responsible for low vision, visual impairment due to complications and progression, it has always been the topic of many researches aimed at either curtailing its progression or minimizing it¹. Most of these studies have taken place in Far East population or Asians (as they are called in USA). The reason for this is because myopia is quite prevalent in that population (upto 87% in Taiwan, for example). The progression that takes place in myopia is thought to be due to axial elongation of the eyeball^{2,3}.

Some of the therapies that have been tried to date include low dose Atropine eye drops⁴, bifocal & multi-focal glasses,⁵⁻⁸ soft and rigid gas permeable contact lenses,⁹⁻¹⁴ etc. Low dose atropine has gained a lot of popularity in recent times, but practitioners have also been skeptical due to adverse effects of atropine^{15,16}. Attention has therefore been shifted these days to Orthokeratology lenses. The design of these lenses (also called reverse geometry design) is such that it flattens the central part of cornea due to its flatter base curve as compared to the secondary curve. This creates a positive pushing pressure in the centre and a negative pulling pressure in mid periphery. The epithelial cells are re-distributed to the mid periphery from central area resulting in central corneal thinness. The cornea becomes plateau shaped which in turn causes peripheral myopic de-focus¹⁷⁻¹⁹. The latter neutralizes the hyperopic peripheral defocus found in myopic eyes and which is thought to be the stimulus for progressive axial eye elongation in myopic eyes²⁰⁻²². Many studies have supported the hypothesis of this neutralization of peripheral defocus and successful clinical trials also held to further strengthen this theory²³. However follow up of these studies is often limited to less than five years. Only two studies have follow up of 7-8 years but they too have showed encouraging results^{24,25}.

Some studies have shown that Orthokeratology has a synergistic effect when combined with a low dose atropine therapy²⁶.

The main drawback to this therapy, just like any contact lens use, is chance of infection (microbial keratitis). This risk is all the more important since the Orthokeratology lens has to be worn overnight, further making the eye susceptible to pathogenic invasion. This may be minimized by proper fitting of the lens, proper care, and regular follow up by an experienced optometrist or ophthalmologist²⁶.

References

1. Saw SM, Gazzard G Au, Eong KG, Tan DT. Myopia: attempts to arrest progression. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2002;86:1306–1311
2. Fledelius HC. Ophthalmic changes from age of 10 to 18 years: a longitudinal study of sequels to low birth weight. IV. Ultrasound ophthalmometry of vitreous and axial length. *Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh)*. 1982;60:403–411.
3. Hosaka A. The growth of the eye and its components: Japanese studies. *Acta Ophthalmol Suppl*. 1988;185:65–68.
4. Chia A, Chua W-H, Cheung Y-B, Wong W-L, Lingham A, Fong A, et al. Atropine for the treatment of childhood myopia: safety and efficacy of 0.5%, 0.1% and 0.01% doses (atropine for the treatment of myopia 2) *Ophthalmology*. 2012;119:347–354. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.07.031.
5. Fulk GW, Cyert LA, Parker DE. A randomized trial of the effect of single-vision vs. bifocal lenses on myopia progression in children with esophoria. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2000;77:395–401. doi: 10.1097/00006324-200008000-00006.
6. Cheng D, Schmid KL, Woo GC, Drobe B. Randomized trial effect of bifocal and prismatic bifocal spectacles on myopia progression. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010;128:12–19. doi: 10.1001/archophthalmol.2009.332.
7. Leung JT, Brown B. Progression of myopia in Hong Kong Chinese schoolchildren is slowed by wearing progressive lenses. *Optom Vis Sci*. 1999;76:346–354. doi: 10.1097/00006324-199906000-00013.
8. Yang Z, Lan W, Ge J, Liu W, Chen X, Chen L, et al. The effectiveness of progressive addition lenses on the progression of myopia in Chinese children. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt*. 2009;29:41–48. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-1313.2008.00608.
9. Aller TA, Wildsoet C. Bifocal soft contact lenses as a possible myopia control treatment: a case report involving

- identical twins. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2008;91:394–399. doi: 10.1111/j.1444-0938.2007.00230.
10. Stone J. The possible influence of contact lenses on myopia. *Br J Physiol Opt*. 1976;31:89–114.
 11. Grosvenor T, Perrigin J, Perrigin D, Quintero S. Use of silicone-acrylate contact lenses for the control of myopia. Results after two years of lens wear. *Optom Vis Sci*. 1989;66:41–47. doi: 10.1097/00006324-198901000-00013.
 12. Perrigin J, Perrigin D, Quintero S, Grosvenor T. Silicone acrylate contact lenses for myopia control: 3-year results. *Optom Vis Sci*. 1990;67:764–769. doi: 10.1097/00006324-199010000-00003.
 13. Katz J, Schein OD, Levy B, Cruiscullo T, Saw SM, Rajan U, et al. A randomized trial of rigid gas permeable contact lenses to reduce progression of children's myopia. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2003;136:82–90. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9394(03)00106-5.
 14. Walline JJ, Jones LA, Mutti DO, Zadnik K. A randomized trial of the effects of rigid contact lenses on myopia progression. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2004;122:1760–1766. doi: 10.1001/archophth.122.12.1760.
 15. Cooper J, Schulman E, Jamal N. Current status on the development and treatment of myopia. *Optometry*. 2012;83:179–199.
 16. Gwiazda J. Treatment options for myopia. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2009;86:624–628. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0b013e3181a6a225.
 17. Nichols JJ, Marsich MM, Nguyen M, Barr JT, Bullimore MA. Overnight orthokeratology. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2000;77:252–259. doi: 10.1097/00006324-200005000-00012.
 18. Caroline PJ. Contemporary orthokeratology. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye*. 2001;24:41–46. doi: 10.1016/S1367-0484(01)80008-4.
 19. Cheung SW, Cho P, Chui WS, Woo GC. Refractive error and visual acuity changes in orthokeratology patients. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2007;84:410–416. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0b013e31804f5acc.
 20. Cho P, Cheung SW, Edwards M. The longitudinal orthokeratology research in children (LORIC) in Hong Kong: a pilot study on refractive changes and myopic control. *Curr Eye Res*. 2005;30:71–80. doi: 10.1080/02713680590907256.
 21. Walline JJ, Jones LA, Sinnott LT. Corneal reshaping and myopia progression. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2009;93:1181–1185. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2008.151365.
 22. Kakita T, Hiraoka T, Oshika T. Influence of overnight orthokeratology on axial elongation in childhood myopia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52:2170–2174. doi: 10.1167/iovs.10-5485.
 23. Cho P, Cheung SW. Retardation of myopia in Orthokeratology (ROMIO) study: a 2-year randomized clinical trial. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2012;53:7077–7085. doi: 10.1167/iovs.12-10565.
 24. Mok AK-H, Chung CS-T. Seven-year retrospective analysis of the myopic control effect of orthokeratology in children: a pilot study. *Clin Optom*. 2011;3:1–4.
 25. Downie LE, Lowe R. Corneal reshaping influences myopic prescription stability (CRIMPS): an analysis of the effect of orthokeratology on childhood myopic refractive stability. *Eye & Contact Lens: Science & Clinical Practice*. 2013;39:303–310. doi: 10.1097/ICL.0b013e318298ee76.
 26. VanderVeen DK, Kraker RT, Pineles SL, Hutchinson AK, Wilson LB, Jennifer A, Galvin JA, et al. Use of orthokeratology for the prevention of myopic progression in children. *Ophthalmology*. 2019;126:623–36.